Rubrics for External Design Review

	(4) Advanced - A	(3) Proficient - B	(2) Basic - C	(1) Below Basic - D or E
Timeliness (10%)	 Prototype is fully functional at the time of review Group is present and ready to begin on time 	Prototype is semi- functional (has at least one working component) at the time of review Group is present and ready to begin on time	 Prototype is together, but not functional at the time of review Group is present but not ready to present 	 Prototype is not built or functional at time of review Not all group members are present, and group is not ready
Presentation (15%)	Discussion remains professional in tone and direction Discussion proceeds efficiently due to understanding and articulation of the groups ideas and design Group is able to focus on relevant aspects of the robot design	Discussion remains professional in tone and direction For the most part discussion proceeds efficiently Group may not focus on relevant aspects of design, but does articulate important issues	Discussion does not have a professional tone or manner Discussion does not stay on topic Students may articulate some ideas of the project, but do not focus on main aspects of robot design	Little discussion occurs during the presentation Students show lack of understanding of group ideas and design of robot Group does not focus discussion
Project Management (30%)	Development is in line with timeline submitted with proposal Group member roles and responsibilities are defined and adhered to Schedule for future development is practical and workable	Development is mostly in line with timeline submitted with proposal Group members have roles and responsibilities which were mostly adhered to Schedule for future development is present and likely practical and workable	Group member do not abide by timeline that was submitted with proposal Group members do not adhere to clearly defined set of roles and responsibilities Future development is present but may not be in schedule or practical and workable	Timeline is not submitted, or timeline is disregarded during development process Group members do not clearly define roles No schedule for future development is created

Rubrics for External Design Review

(Cont.)	(4) Advanced - A	(3) Proficient - B	(2) Basic - C	(1) Below Basic - D or E
Progress (30%)	Project shows a clear progression beyond what was available at the time of proposal Every team member has effectively contributed to development Decisions show evidence of a thoughtful decision-making process Group has given appropriate consideration to all aspects of development, including mechanics, programming, and testing	Project has progressed from what was available at time of proposal Most team members have effectively contributed to development Most decisions show evidence of use of a thoughtful decision-making process Group has spent some time considering all aspects of development	Project has made only fair progression beyond what was available at the time of proposal Few team members have effectively contributed to development Decision were made without using a decision-making process Group has spend little time considering all aspects of development	Project has not progressed from time of proposal Little contribution from any team member No clear decisions made Group did not consider all aspects of development
Future Plans (15%)	Group can describe what aspects of design will be worked on next Groups has prioritized remaining tasks to ensure project will be completed on time	 Groups can give overall ideas of what future aspects of design will look like Group has prioritized the ideas they have come up with for future design 	 Groups have very little idea about future aspects of design Group can provide a sketch of a timeline for future ideas 	 Group has not thought about future aspects of design Group has no timeline to get future tasks done